Hilleary Moakley Shaw Hobson Mollohan Sherwood Hoekstra Moore Shimkus Moran (KS) Holden Shows Murtha Hostettler Simpson Hulshof Neal Skeen Hunter Nethercutt Skelton Hutchinson Ney Smith (MI) Northup Hvde Smith (NJ) Isakson Norwood Smith (TX) Istook Nussle Snyder Oberstar Jenkins Souder John Ortiz Spence Johnson, Sam Ose Stearns Jones (NC) Oxlev Stenholm Kanjorski Packard Stump Kaptur Paul Stupak Kasich Pease Sununu Peterson (MN) Kildee Sweenev King (NY) Peterson (PA) Petri Kingston Tancredo Kleczka Phelps Tauzin Knollenberg Pickering Taylor (MS) Pitts Kolbe Taylor (NC) Kucinich Pombo Terry LaFalce Pomerov Thornberry LaHood Portman Thune Pryce (OH) Lampson Thurman Largent Quinn Tiahrt Radanovich Latham Toomey LaTourette Rahall Traficant. Lazio Ramstad Turner Leach Regula Udall (NM) Lewis (CA) Reynolds Upton Riley Lewis (KY) Visclosky Roemer Linder Vitter Lipinski Rogan Walden LoBiondo Rogers Rohrabacher Walsh Lucas (KY) Lucas (OK) Ros-Lehtinen Wamp Watkins Manzullo Roukema Watts (OK) Royce Ryan (WI) Mascara Weldon (FL) McCrery McHugh Ryun (KS) Weldon (PA) McInnis Salmon Weller Weygand McIntyre Sanford McKeon Whitfield Saxton McNulty Scarborough Wicker Wilson Metcalf Schaffer Sensenbrenner Mica Wolf Miller (FL) Sessions Young (AK) Miller, Gary Shadegg Young (FL)

NOT VOTING-24

Berman Klink Rangel Kuykendall Roybal-Allard Coburn Cook Martinez Serrano Dixon McCollum Shuster Filner McIntosh Slaughter Gordon Meeks (NY) Thomas Hall (OH) Myrick Vento Jones (OH) Obey Wynn

□ 2349

So the amendment was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. KUYKENDALL. Mr. Chairman, I was unavoidably detained attending my son's high graduation and missed roll call votes 311–318. If I had been here, I would have voted in the following manner:

Rollcall 311: "Yes" (rule regarding H.R. 4615, Legislature Branch Appropriations).

Rollcall 312: "Yes" (Ryan lockbox amendment).

Rollcall 313: "Yes" (final passage, H.R. 4615, Legislature Branch Appropriations).

Rollcall 314: "Yes" (rule, H.R. 4690, Commerce-Justice-State Appropriations).

Rollcall 315: "Yes" (Campbell resolution cutting salaries and expenses for prison industries).

Rollcall 316: "No" (cutting state criminal alien apprehension program).
Rollcall 317: "No" (cutting truth in

Rollcall 317: "No" (cutting truth in sentencing grants).

Rollcall 318: "Yes" (regarding abortions for female prison inmates).

□ 2350

Mr. ROGERS. Mr. Chairman, I move that the Committee do now rise. The motion was agreed to.

Accordingly, the Committee rose; and the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. Pease) having assumed the chair, Mr. Hastings of Washington, Chairman of the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union, reported that that Committee, having had under consideration the bill (H.R. 4690) making appropriations for the Departments of Commerce, Justice, and State, the Judiciary, and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2001, and for other purposes, had come to no resolution thereon.

LIMITATIONS ON AMENDMENTS
DURING FURTHER CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 4690, DEPARTMENTS OF COMMERCE, JUSTICE,
AND STATE, THE JUDICIARY,
AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2001

Mr. ROGERS. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that during further consideration of H.R. 4690 in the Committee of the Whole pursuant to House Resolution 529:

(1) no further amendment to the bill shall be in order except pro forma amendments offered by the chairman or ranking minority member of the Committee on Appropriations or their designees for the purpose of debate; amendments printed in the portion of the Congressional Record designated for that purpose in clause 8 of rule XVIII on or before June 22, 2000, which may be offered only by the Member who caused it to be printed or his designee, shall be considered as read, shall not be subject to amendment except pro forma amendments for the purpose of debate, and shall not be subject to a demand for a division of the question in the House or in the Committee of the Whole:

(2) the Clerk be authorized to print in the portion of the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD designated for that purpose in clause 8 of rule XVIII all amendments to H.R. 4690 that are at the desk and not already printed by the close of business this legislative day; and

(3) before consideration of any other amendment, it shall be in order to consider the amendment offered by the gentleman from California (Mr. WAXMAN) to section 110, which shall be debatable for only 40 minutes equally divided and controlled by the proponent and an opponent.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Kentucky?

There was no objection.

PIKETON PLANT TO CLOSE

(Mr. STRICKLAND asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. STRICKLAND. Mr. Speaker, the hour is late, but I think it is important that I share with my colleagues the headline from the Columbus Dispatch today, which says "Piketon Plant to Close: 2,000 Workers Will Lose Jobs Because of Shutdown." And then it says, "Less than 2 years ago, the United States Enrichment Corporation, which was privatized 2 years ago, vowed to keep the Piketon Plant and a sister facility in Paducah, Kentucky, open until at least 2005.

It is late, but I hope the Vice President is awake and listening tonight. I hope the Secretary of the Treasury is awake and listening tonight. Because it was on their watch that this decision has been made and my workers and my community have been let down.

Mr. Speaker, this Congress has an obligation to protect this industry, which provides 23 percent of the electricity generated within this country.

CITIZENS OF BUFFALO, NEW YORK DO NOT WANT "FULL MONTE"

(Mr. QUINN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. QUINN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today on behalf of the good citizens of Buffalo, New York.

As some of my colleagues might be aware, a new theatrical performance entitled the "Full Monte" based on the success of the 1997 film is headed to Broadway.

While the film used a small, economically depressed town in England as its setting, the new play changes the backdrop to my hometown of Buffalo, New York.

While I applaud the success and appreciate the artistic endeavor of the playwrights, I am extremely concerned that the use of Buffalo as the setting will tarnish the image of a wonderful city going through a rebuilding process.

I respectfully request that the creative minds of this play reconsider their choice of Buffalo as the new setting. Instead, I suggest that they choose a fictional name for their setting. A fictional city name would prevent them from harming not only the image of Buffalo and its good residents but any locality in America.

In closing, I wish the "Full Monte" the greatest success as it moves from San Diego to Broadway but not at the expense of the good name of my hometown of Buffalo, New York.